

ML

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,284	06/25/2003	Pauli Seppinen	944-003.151-1	3300
4955 7590 03/12/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			EXAMINER YUN, EUGENE	
	GREEN, BUILDING 5 REET, P O BOX 224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONROE, CT	•	·	2618	
			,	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/606,284	SEPPINEN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Eugene Yun	2618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers	•	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Affadavit filed on 12/26/2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Hall (US 2004/0203352) reference.

2. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the Hall reference.

The applicant has not submitted any documents which prove that the applicant's claimed invention was reduced to practice before the filing date of the Hall reference. Applicant has failed to meet the evidence requirement of 37 CFR 1.131. (Please see MPEP 715.07) In addition, the Hall reference has a provisional application which was filed on June 18, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bridgelall (US 6,717,516) in view of Hall et al. (US 2004/0203352).

Referring to Claim 1, Bridgelall teaches a transceiver for use in an electronic device wherein said transceiver adapts itself to operate as an RF tag reader 44 (fig. 2)

Art Unit: 2618

or as a Bluetooth transceiver 42 (fig. 2) by changing its reception and transmission capabilities (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Bridgelall does not teach a single antenna usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver. Hall teaches a single antenna (see last 7 lines pf paragraph [0008]) usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver (see paragraph [0025] and 4 and 5 of fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hall to said device of Bridgelall in order to reduce the cost and hassle of carrying two separate devices.

Referring to Claim 2, Bridgelall also teaches said Bluetooth transceiver is useable as a transceiver for a 2.4 GHz ISM band RF tag reader system (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Referring to Claim 3, Bridgelall also teaches an integrated circuit (see 58 in fig. 2).

Referring to Claim 4, Bridgelall also teaches a mobile terminal (fig. 1).

Referring to Claim 5, Bridgelall teaches a radio device having a radio receiver and a radio transmitter wherein operability of said device is in two modes (see col. 5, lines 1-15), a Bluetooth mode 42 (fig. 2) and an RF tag reader mode 44 (fig. 2), said radio receiver and said radio transmitter comprising a single transceiver that adapts itself to operate as a Bluetooth transceiver in said Bluetooth mode and an RF-tag reader in said RF tag reader mode by changing its reception and transmission capabilities (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2618

Bridgelall does not teach using a single antenna in an RF-tag reader mode or Bluetooth mode. Hall teaches using a single antenna (see last 7 lines of paragraph [0008]) in an RF-tag reader mode or Bluetooth mode (see paragraph [0025] and 4 and 5 of fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hall to said device of Bridgelall in order to reduce the cost and hassle of carrying two separate devices.

Referring to Claim 6, Bridgelall also teaches said operability of said radio device in either mode is by using said radio receiver and said radio transmitter (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Referring to Claim 7, Bridgelall also teaches said radio device incorporated in a device having additional device functionality (see col. 5, lines 29-46).

Referring to Claim 8, Bridgelall also teaches the device in which said radio device is incorporated comprising a mobile telephone (see 24, 26, and 28 in fig. 1).

Referring to Claim 9, Bridgelall also teaches said radio device installed in a mobile telephone (see 24, 26, and 28 in fig. 1).

Referring to Claim 10, Bridgelall teaches a radio device having a radio receiver 38 and 34 (fig. 2), a radio transmitter 38 and 34 (fig. 2), and a signal processor 50 (fig. 2), wherein the radio receiver is responsive to an incoming analog radio signal for providing a down converted and modulated signal to said signal processor, wherein the radio transmitter is responsive to an output signal from said signal processor for transmission as an outgoing analog radio signal (see col. 6, lines 37-60), said device further comprising control logic for controlling said radio device in two modes, a first

Art Unit: 2618

mode for operating as a Bluetooth device and a second mode for operating as an RF tag reader (see col. 6, lines 60-67 and col. 7, lines 1-3), wherein said radio receiver and said radio transmitter comprises a single transceiver that adapts itself to operate as an RF tag reader or as a Bluetooth transceiver by changing its reception and transmission capabilities (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Bridgelall does not teach a single antenna usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver. Hall teaches a single antenna (see last 7 lines pf paragraph [0008]) usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver (see paragraph [0025] and 4 and 5 of fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hall to said device of Bridgelall in order to reduce the cost and hassle of carrying two separate devices.

Referring to Claim 11, Bridgelall teaches control logic for controlling a radio device in two modes, a first mode for operating as a Bluetooth device 42 (fig. 2) and a second mode to operating as an RF tag reader 44 (fig. 2) wherein said radio device comprises a single transceiver that adapts itself to operate as said RF tag reader or as a Bluetooth transceiver by changing its reception and transmission capabilities (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Bridgelall does not teach a single antenna usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver. Hall teaches a single antenna (see last 7 lines pf paragraph [0008]) usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver (see paragraph [0025] and 4 and 5 of fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2618

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hall to said device of Bridgelall in order to reduce the cost and hassle of carrying two separate devices.

Referring to Claim 12, Bridgelall also teaches means for communicating with a radio access network over a radio interface (see 214 of fig. 4).

Referring to Claim 13, Bridgelall also teaches a signal processor 50 (fig. 2) and a mobile telephone transceiver 28 (fig. 1).

Referring to Claim 14, Bridgelall teaches a method comprising:

Switching a mode of a single transceiver able to operate as an RF tag reader 44 (fig. 2) in one mode and as a Bluetooth transceiver 42 (fig. 2) in another mode by changing reception and transmission capabilities of said single transceiver (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Bridgelall does not teach a single antenna usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver. Hall teaches a single antenna (see last 7 lines pf paragraph [0008]) usable for said transceiver operating as said RF tag reader or said Bluetooth transceiver (see paragraph [0025] and 4 and 5 of fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Hall to said device of Bridgelall in order to reduce the cost and hassle of carrying two separate devices.

Referring to Claim 15, Bridgelall also teaches said single transceiver is both for interrogating an RF tag and for participating in a Bluetooth piconet (see col. 5, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 2618

Referring to Claim 16, Bridgelall also teaches a single transceiver and single antenna for use in a mobile telephone 28 (fig. 1) and operating a mobile telephone transceiver of said mobile telephone over a radio interface to a radio access network (see 214 of fig. 4).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner reiterates the response to the argument regarding the Bridgelall reference not teaching a "single transceiver", it is believed by the examiner that the device in the Bridgelall reference is indeed a single transceiver even though the device has more than one antenna. Both the RFID radio and the Bluetooth radio are contained within the same device 58 (fig. 2) and therefore, the device 58 can denote a single transceiver. The term "transceiver" can be very broadly read on to one skilled in the art, because many single transceivers contain rake receivers, antenna arrays, and multi-mode devices. In addition to the fact that the term "single transceiver" was not specifically defined to overcone the Bridgelall reference, the examiner still believes that the device 12 in fig. 2 of the Bridgelall reference denotes a single transceiver.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571)272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/606,284

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2618

EY

Matthew D. Anderson Supervisory Patent Examiner